Increased involvement of the parahippocampal gyrus in a sad mood predicts future depressive symptoms
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Behavioral studies suggest a relationship between autobiographical memory, rumination and depression. The objective of this study was to determine whether remitted depressed patients show alterations in connectivity of the posterior cingulate cortex (PCC, a node in the default mode network) with the parahippocampal gyrus (PHG, a region associated with autobiographical memory) while intensively recalling negative memories and whether this is related to daily life symptoms and to the further course of depression. Sad mood was induced with keywords of personal negative life events in participants with remitted depression (n = 29) and matched healthy controls (n = 29) during functional magnetic resonance imaging. Additionally, daily life assessments of mood and rumination and a 6-month follow-up were conducted. Remitted depressed participants showed greater connectivity than healthy controls of the PCC with the PHG, which was even stronger in patients with more previous episodes. Furthermore, patients with increased PCC-PHG connectivity showed a sadder mood and more rumination in daily life and a worsening of rumination and depression scores during follow-up. A relationship of negative autobiographical memory processing, rumination, sad mood and depression on a neural level seems likely. The identified increased connectivity probably indicates a ‘scar’ of recurrent depression and may represent a prognostic factor for future depression.
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INTRODUCTION

Major depressive disorder is a mental disorder characterized by lasting pervasive feelings of low mood, guilt and worthlessness. One factor that has been linked to cognitive vulnerability in depression is rumination—repeatedly thinking about one’s negative emotional state and its possible causes and consequences (Nolen-Hoeksema et al., 2008; Huffziger et al., 2009). According to the perseverative cognition hypothesis by Brosschot and colleagues (Brosschot et al., 2005), rumination is a mediator between stressors and prolonged stress-related processes by entailing an ongoing mental representation of the stressor (cf. Huffziger et al., 2013). Such stressors could be negative life events, that is, autobiographical experiences and related attention processes, which play an important role in depression (Sumner et al., 2010; Whalley et al., 2012) and rumination (Watson et al., 2012).

Emotions play a major formative role in episodic memory (see Dere et al., 2010 for an overview) and particularly in autobiographical memory (Williams and Broadbent, 1986), the part of episodic memory which is highly self-referential. Therefore, it is not surprising that emotional memories are generally remembered more often than neutral memories (Howes et al., 1993; Moritz et al., 2005). Positive autobiographical memories contain more sensory and contextual details than neutral or negative memories (D’Argembeau et al., 2003), whereas negative autobiographical memories, which seem to be ‘more central to identity’ in depressive patients (Watson et al., 2012), appear to be more salient and remembered in a more general way, possibly sustained and boosted by rumination.

Rumination has also been linked to an increased activity in the brain’s default mode network (DMN; Berman et al., 2011; Hamilton et al., 2011). The DMN is an activity pattern of the brain, presumably representing self-referential processes (Whitfield-Gabrieli et al., 2011). Major brain regions involved are the posterior cingulate cortex (PCC), the medial prefrontal cortex and the angular gyrus. Increased connectivity and activity in the DMN have been reported in depressed individuals (Greicius et al., 2007; Sheline et al., 2009, 2010; Berman et al., 2011; Li et al., 2013). Recently, Zhu and colleagues (2012) described two dissociated DMNs in depressed patients in comparison with healthy controls, one of increased functional connectivity between the medial prefrontal cortex and anterior cingulate cortex and the other one posterior, including PCC and precuneus, showing decreased connectivity. Although these findings are partially inconsistent, reporting both increased and decreased connectivity, the overall conclusion is that altered DMN connectivity and activity seem to occur in depression and may, for example, predict future relapses (Farb et al., 2011) or help to classify participants with resting state functional connectivity data as depressed or non-depressed (Zeng et al., 2012).

Interestingly, one of the four best regions for discriminating between depressed and healthy participants in the aforementioned analyses by Zeng and colleagues (2012) was the parahippocampal gyrus (PHG) which showed, among others, altered connectivity to the PCC and the anterior cingulate cortex in patients when compared with controls.

Furthermore, Cooney and colleagues found greater activity in the PHG during rumination versus abstract distraction in depressive patients in contrast to healthy controls (Cooney et al., 2010). Moreover, the posterior default mode network seems to recruit among others the parahippocampal gyrus during episodic memory retrieval (Sestieri et al., 2011). These findings could implicate a connection between DMN aberrations in depressed patients, rumination and autobiographical memory processes. In general, autobiographical memory pathways in the brain seem to include the PHG. For example, patients with transient epileptic amnesia, displaying specific autobiographical memory problems, show decreased activity in the posterior PHG (Milton et al., 2012). Furthermore, a recent meta-analysis showed that the left posterior parahippocampal gyrus was more likely to be recruited during recall or imagination of personal events compared...
with recognition tasks (Viard et al., 2012). In a further quantitative meta-analysis, Spreng and colleagues demonstrated a strong overlap of the autobiographical brain network and the DMN, particularly in the PHG (Spreng et al., 2009). Interestingly, increased gray matter volume in the parahippocampal gyrus was found in meditators (Leung et al., 2013), whereas the cortex was thinner in this area in late life depressive patients who did not respond to psychotherapy (Mackin et al., 2012).

Summing up, autobiographical memory seems to be linked to the DMN, the PHG and to rumination. However, the role of autobiographical memory processes, their neurobiological underpinning and interaction with the DMN and their relation to daily life experiences in depression are not yet fully understood. Recently, Hamilton and colleagues proposed that dysfunctional interactions between different neural networks could be an important aspect in understanding depressive pathology (Hamilton et al., 2013). They argue that an altered inter-connection between DMN and task-positive networks like the executive or the salience network might contribute to the pathophysiology of depression. Following this argument, it is an interesting issue whether in depression the DMN shows altered connections to structures associated with autobiographical memory. Therefore, in this study, we address the question whether the PHG are more strongly connected with the PCC as a core region of the DMN during a negative affective state induced by recalling and immersing negative autobiographical life events in patients with remitted depression compared with healthy controls. This induction procedure should increase self-referential processes and therefore increase DMN connectivity.

Remitted patients were chosen as we expect the altered connectivity to be vulnerability-related and therefore it should be present even when the criteria of a major depressive episode are currently not fulfilled. If a neural signature of autobiographical memory processing is indicative of vulnerability, it should be related to both present and future depressive symptoms and rumination. We, therefore, examined whether connectivity between PCC and PHG is related to daily life measures of mood and rumination and predicts changes in depression and rumination scores in a 6-month follow-up.

**METHODS**

**Participants**

Participants were 29 remitted depressed patients (rMDD) with at least two previous major depressive episodes (n = 27) or a previous chronic major depressive episode of at least 2 years duration (n = 2) according to DSM-IV and 29 healthy controls (HC), who were individually matched to the patients by age, sex and education level. All patients had to be in a state of partial or full remission, that is they, did not fulfill the criteria of a major depressive episode according to DSM-IV and 29 healthy controls (HC), who were individually matched to the patients by age, sex and education level. All patients had to be in a state of partial or full remission, that is they, did not fulfill the criteria of a major depressive episode according to DSM-IV from the previous 2 months. Participants were recruited using online (e.g. on the homepage of the Central Institute of Mental Health) and newspaper call outs. The study initially included 64 individuals aged between 26 and 55 years; however, six cases (three out of each group) were excluded from analyses due to altered anatomical or other physiological parameters (head motion exclusion criteria: rotation >1.5°, translation >2 mm). One patient fulfilled criteria for a co-morbid current agoraphobia and another patient for a co-morbid current social phobia. A detailed sample description is available in Table 1.

Exclusion criteria for both groups were bipolar and psychotic disorders, substance dependence, current substance abuse, generalized anxiety disorder, current obsessive-compulsive, post-traumatic stress and eating disorders according to DSM-IV as well as contraindications for the functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI). Psychopathology-related in- and exclusion criteria were assessed by a trained clinical psychologist with the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV axis I (SCID; Wittchen et al., 1997) applied in an individual session.

The study was approved by the local ethics committee of the University of Heidelberg. All participants gave written informed consent after complete description of the study.

**Interview-, questionnaire-based and daily life measures**

At baseline (t1), depressive symptoms during the previous 2 weeks were assessed with the self-rated Beck Depression Inventory II-Revised (BDI II; Beck et al., 1996; German version: Hautzinger et al., 2006) and the Montgomery and Asberg Depression Rating Scale (MADRS; Montgomery and Asberg, 1979; German version: Schmidtke et al., 1988) rated by a trained clinical psychologist. As both measures were highly correlated (r = 0.73) and to increase reliability, a mean score of z-standardized sum scores of the BDI II and MADRS scores was calculated. Trait rumination in response to depressed mood was assessed with the two five-item subscales brooding and reflection of the Ruminative Response Scale (RRS; Treynor et al., 2003; German version: Hufziger and Kühner, 2012).

Daily life variables were measured using ambulatory assessment (Trull and Ebner-Priemer, 2013) which was conducted over two consecutive weekdays with 10 pseudo-randomized assessments per day using personal digital assistants (PDAs; Palm Tungsten E2, Palm Inc.). At each subjective assessment, which was indicated by a beep, participants rated momentary mood and ruminative self-focus (Hufziger et al., 2013). Sadness was assessed with the item “At the moment I feel sad”. Item scores ranged from 0 (not at all) to 6 (very much). Momentary ruminative self-focus was assessed with the two items “At the moment, I am thinking about my problems” and “At the moment, I am thinking about my feelings” which were averaged.

**Table 1 Descriptive and psychometric variables of the depression group (rMDD) and the control group (HC) for the time points t1 and follow-up 6 months later (t2)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>rMDD</th>
<th>HC</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0.842**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sex (female/male)</td>
<td>20/9</td>
<td>21/8</td>
<td>0.524**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>age (years)</td>
<td>45.55 ± 7.45</td>
<td>44.24 ± 8.09</td>
<td>0.684**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>education (CSE/high school diploma/levels)</td>
<td>4/7/18</td>
<td>2/8/19</td>
<td>0.002**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>age of illness onset (years)</td>
<td>23.14 ± 11.36</td>
<td>0.004**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>number of depressive episodes</td>
<td>3.96 ± 2.22</td>
<td>0.004**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>previous inpatient treatment</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>0.004**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>current psychotherapeutic medication</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>0.004**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>current psychotherapy</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>0.004**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDI II t1</td>
<td>10.14 ± 8.35</td>
<td>3.32 ± 4.04</td>
<td>0.001**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDI II t2</td>
<td>10.83 ± 10.18</td>
<td>4.14 ± 6.37</td>
<td>0.002**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MADRS t1</td>
<td>5.83 ± 5.29</td>
<td>1.38 ± 2.44</td>
<td>0.004**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MADRS t2</td>
<td>7.62 ± 7.48</td>
<td>2.98 ± 5.32</td>
<td>0.002**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dep-score t1 (z)</td>
<td>0.51 ± 1.11</td>
<td>-0.46 ± 0.49</td>
<td>0.001**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dep-score t2 (z)</td>
<td>0.35 ± 1.06</td>
<td>-0.40 ± 0.70</td>
<td>0.004**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RRS-R t1</td>
<td>10.66 ± 3.59</td>
<td>8.69 ± 3.40</td>
<td>0.037**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RRS-R t2</td>
<td>10.52 ± 3.09</td>
<td>9.00 ± 1.17</td>
<td>0.070**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RRS-B t1</td>
<td>10.97 ± 3.96</td>
<td>8.07 ± 2.52</td>
<td>0.002**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RRS-B t2</td>
<td>10.72 ± 4.22</td>
<td>8.00 ± 3.01</td>
<td>0.004**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>daily rumination (mean)</td>
<td>1.36 ± 1.25</td>
<td>0.86 ± 0.90</td>
<td>0.006**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>daily sadness (mean)</td>
<td>0.95 ± 1.23</td>
<td>0.41 ± 0.71</td>
<td>0.075**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**t** test

*two sample t-test

CSE: Certificate of Secondary Education, 8 years

BDI II: Beck Depression Inventory revised, self-rated

MADRS: Montgomery and Asberg Depression Rating Scale, rated by a trained clinical psychologist

dep-score: z-standardized sum score of the BDI II and MADRS scores

RRS, Ruminative Response Scale; R: reflection subscale, B: brooding subscale

---

Table 1 shows a significant difference between the rMDD and HC group in the following variables: BDI II, MADRS, RRS-R and RRS-B. No significant difference was found in the variables: sex, age, education, number of depressive episodes, previous inpatient treatment, current psychotherapeutic medication, current psychotherapy, and BDI II t2, MADRS t1, dep-score t2, RRS-R t2, RRS-B t2, daily rumination (mean), and daily sadness (mean).
physiological artefacts using the Aztec software tool (van Buuren et al., 2009) including a high-pass filter of 1/512 Hz. Pre-processing included motion correction, slice time correction (13th slice as reference), normalization to an EPI template and smoothing with a 9-mm Gaussian kernel.

For the analyses, the mean of both sad mood induction phases was used for every participant. Seed region for the connectivity analysis was the PCC (10 mm sphere around −7, −45, 24) as main posterior part of the DMN (Berman et al., 2011). Pre-processing and statistics were conducted with SPM8 (Wellcome Trust Centre for Neuroimagining, University College London, UK), IBM SPSS20 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) and G*Power 3.1.2 (Faul et al., 2007). First level analyses were conducted for every single subject and second level analysis to compare groups. In the whole brain analyses minimal cluster size was set to 10 adjacent voxels at punc < 0.001. Using an uncorrected $P$-value together with a cluster size threshold has been discussed as an appropriate way to control for type I errors but also to avoid an inflation of type II errors (Lieberman and Cunningham, 2009). For further regression analyses, a mask including all connected voxels at $p_{unc} = 0.01$ during the mood induction phase was overlaid with an anatomical mask for the PHG from the WFU pickatlas (Lancaster et al., 1997; Maldjian et al., 2003). The resulting intersection mask was used to extract the mean connectivity from the PCC to the PHG in each participant during the mood induction phase. The latter were used for regression analyses on the relationship of PCC–PHG connectivity with interview- and questionnaire-based and daily life measures. To account for the baseline scores in longitudinal analyses, stepwise regressions were conducted.

**RESULTS**

**Mood ratings**

Both groups reported sadder mood after mood induction (increased negative affect: $r_{MDD}: 12.84 \pm 2.61$ vs $19.17 \pm 7.93$, $t(28) = 4.57$, $P < 0.001$, $d_{z} = 0.85$, HC: $12.55 \pm 4.23$ vs $14.40 \pm 5.18$, $t(28) = 3.52$, $P = 0.001$, $d_{z} = 0.65$; reduced positive affect: $r_{MDD}: 25.55 \pm 6.13$ vs $22.90 \pm 5.88$, $t(28) = 2.61$, $P = 0.008$, $d_{z} = 0.48$, but not statistically significant effect in the HC: $27.76 \pm 7.99$ vs $26.74 \pm 8.56$, $t(28) = 1.55$, $P = 0.067$, $d_{z} = 0.29$; rMDD reported a significantly more increased negative affect and a similarly decreased positive affect compared with controls (ANOVA group x time interactions): negative: $F(1,57) = 9.16$, $P = 0.004$, $f = 0.41$; positive: $F(1,57) = 1.83$, $P = 0.182$, $f = 0.18$) and comparable concentration ratings on the negative life events ($r_{MDD}: 73 \pm 14\%$, HC $75 \pm 19\%$; $t(56) = 0.65$, $P = 0.519$, $d = 0.17$).

**Brain connectivity during mood induction**

To test whether the DMN can be identified during sad mood induction we calculated the main effect for PCC connectivity over all participants after regressing out the mean signal. When doing so, we identified a network including parietal, prefrontal and occipital regions, which is strongly overlapping with the DMN as described by Smith et al.
of previous episodes, a series of stepwise regressions were conducted with daily life rumination and daily sadness as dependent variables. In these models, depression score at t1 was entered in a first step, followed by number of episodes and PCC-PHG connectivity score in a stepwise manner, depending on their effect size. In all models PCC-PHG connectivity yielded a significant effect, while number of episodes did not (daily life rumination: PHG–PCC connectivity: $R^2_{inc} = 0.16$, $B = 8.76$, $SE = 2.66$, $t = 3.28$, $P = 0.003$, $n$ of episodes: $R^2_{inc} < 0.01$, $B = -0.04$, $SE = 0.08$, $t = -0.50$, $P = 0.621$; daily life sadness: PCC–PHG connectivity: $R^2_{inc} = 0.09$, $B = 6.81$, $SE = 2.43$, $t = 2.80$, $P = 0.011$, $n$ of episodes: $R^2_{inc} = 0.02$, $B = 0.091$, $SE = 0.065$, $t = 1.40$, $P = 0.181$).

Predictive effects of PCC-PHG connectivity on the course of depressive symptoms and rumination

The stepwise regression analysis revealed a significant prediction of t2 depression scores by t1 depression scores in both groups (rMDD: $R^2 = 0.37$, $B = 0.58$, $SE = 0.15$, $t = 3.95$, $P = 0.001$; HC: $R^2 = 0.32$, $B = 0.80$, $SE = 0.23$, $t = 3.53$, $P = 0.002$). However, adding the PCC–PHG connectivity to the model revealed that the latter significantly predicted a significant worsening of depressive symptoms in the rMDD ($R^2_{inc} = 0.13$, $B = 6.66$, $SE = 2.54$, $t = 2.62$, $P = 0.015$; Fig. 3) but not in the HC ($t = 1.18$, $P = 0.249$).

Furthermore, baseline habitual rumination predicted rumination scores 6 months later in both groups (brooding: rMDD: $R^2 = 0.52$, $B = 0.77$, $SE = 0.14$, $t = 5.37$, $P < 0.001$; HC: $R^2 = 0.35$, $B = 0.70$, $SE = 0.19$, $t = 3.78$, $P = 0.001$; reflection: rMDD: $R^2 = 0.52$, $B = 0.62$, $SE = 0.12$, $t = 5.43$, $P < 0.001$; HC: $R^2 = 0.32$, $B = 0.53$, $SE = 0.14$, $t = 3.59$, $P = 0.001$). Adding the PCC-PHG connectivity to the stepwise regression model revealed that the latter predicted significant higher brooding scores in the rMDD but not in the HC (brooding: rMDD: $R^2_{inc} = 0.07$, $B = 18.71$, $SE = 8.99$, $t = 2.08$, $P = 0.047$; HC: $t = 0.05$, $P = 0.957$; reflection: rMDD: $t = 1.69$, $P = 0.104$; HC: $t = -1.25$, $P = 0.224$).

The overall pattern of the reported results remained the same, for both connectivity and predictions, when excluding all patients with psychotropic medication and their matched controls ($n = 14$). However, due to reduced power the results did not reach significance for the prediction of daily life sadness and brooding after 6 months.

DISCUSSION

The study highlights the potential role of connectivity between the PCC (a node in DMN) and PHG (a node in the autobiographical network) during negative autobiographical recollection in remitted depression, its association with daily life mood and rumination and its prognostic value for the further course of illness. To this end, we induced sad mood in remitted recurrently or chronic depressed patients and healthy controls by presenting key words of personal negative life events in the scanner. Within this context, we investigated whether the remitted depressed group would show a stronger connection between the PCC and structures of the autobiographical memory network during recollection of negative life events and whether this connectivity would be related to concurrent daily life assessments of mood and rumination and to the prospective 6 months course of depressive symptoms and habitual rumination.

Persons with remitted recurrent or chronic depression in comparison with healthy controls showed a stronger connectivity of the PCC and PHG was related to a sadder mood and more ruminative thoughts in daily life and a worsening of rumination and depression scores over the 6-months period, even when controlling for current depressive symptoms. Although former
studies of depression have casually reported data suggesting a potentially important role of the PHG, this region has not previously been a major focus of discussion. Our own results strengthen the assumption that the PHG may have a key role in depression, especially in recurrent and chronic depression.

Our findings are interesting in several respects. First, the stronger correlation between PCC and PHG during mood induction in remitted depressed patients suggests that the recollection of negative events in patients was associated with a more intensive recruitment of autobiographical memories. This could be a vulnerability mechanism for the re-occurrence of depression. We assume that whenever these vulnerable individuals are reminded of personally relevant negative events, a broad associative network is being activated, thereby reinstating negative thoughts and rumination. This interpretation is supported by the significant association of PCC–PHG connectivity with sad mood and rumination in daily life. This might indicate that increased involvement of the autobiographical memory network is linked to negative mood and rumination. Furthermore, the observed correlation between PHG involvement during mood induction and the number of anamnestic depressive episodes supports the idea that this mechanism not only reflects vulnerability but also a neural signature of the disorder. This signature could represent a pre-existing, possibly genetic, risk factor but could also be acquired over the course of illness. Although our design does not allow clear conclusions, we speculate that the greater connectivity between PCC and PHG in patients compared with controls might represent a kind of neural ‘scar’ (cf. Marchetti et al., 2012) of former episodes in recurrent and chronic depression which leads even in remission to enhanced reactions in demanding situations such as recalling personal negative events. Furthermore, in our study, this responsiveness of the PHG was not only related to illness history and daily life emotional and cognitive experiences but also predictive for the worsening of rumination and depressive symptoms during a 6-month period. Importantly, this relation cannot be explained by the association between PHG involvement and current depressive symptoms as we controlled for t1 depression scores. This finding further emphasizes the importance of this system for the course of illness.

In addition, our result of a stronger PCC–PHG connectivity in the remitted depressed group during sad mood induction but not in the resting state matches with findings from non-fMRI studies showing that remitted depressed people perform similarly on measures of maladaptive cognitions and information processing as never-depressed individuals. However, if primed by the activation of maladaptive schemata or sad mood induction, these patients show differences from healthy controls in various types of information processing. This phenomenon, labeled as cognitive reactivity, was shown by self-reports, memory, attention and interpretive biases and found to be connected with a poorer longitudinal course of the disorder (e.g. Scher et al., 2005; Segal et al., 2006). In this context, our work is the first to study DMN activity in relation to cognitive reactivity in remitted depressed individuals, as suggested also by Marchetti et al. (2012). We identified increased PCC–PHG connectivity specifically during sad mood induction, which was furthermore linked to daily life rumination and to a poorer symptom course over the next months. So far, only Farb et al. (2011) used sad mood induction to perform a neuroimaging study on risk of relapse. Using an experimental design different from ours, these authors found greater medial prefrontal cortex reactivity to emotional challenges which predicted rumination and seems to be a marker for relapse risk during the following 18 months. Together, these two studies are the first to demonstrate neuroimaging phenotypes of cognitive reactivity in remitted depressed individuals and lend further support for the diathesis-stress hypothesis of depression (Beck, 2008).

Depressed individuals have problems with remembering specific personal past events and instead recall more general events (over general memory, OGM; Williams and Broadbent, 1986). Studies indicate that OGM predicts higher follow-up depressive symptoms (Sumner et al., 2010) as well as rumination and avoidance (Watson et al., 2013). Furthermore, memory specificity was reduced after an induction of a self-discrepancy focus in persons with high trait rumination (Raes et al., 2012). Therefore, OGM is possibly modulated via self-discrepancy (Raes et al., 2012; Schoofs et al., 2013) and related to rumination. We can only speculate that the association between higher PHG involvement during negative mood induction and a poorer course of depressive symptoms and rumination is mediated by OGM for negative events, which might be associated with stronger autobiographical involvement during mood induction in patients. However, this stronger involvement might not result from a more specific memory for
negative experiences but from repeatedly thinking of them with a
reminiscent focus that generally takes place at a rather abstract level of
thinking (Watkins et al., 2009). This cognitive bias is apparently not
state-dependent but can be observed in remission. Unfortunately, we
did not measure OGM directly in this study. Future studies on the
relation between neural correlates of negative mood, rumination and
outcome should include this construct to further elucidate possible
correlations.

In conclusion, our results suggest a vicious circle in which remem-
bering and ruminating about negative events sensitizes a neural net-
work involved in self-reference and autobiographical memory, which
again facilitates the recollection of negative life events and rumination,
thereby leading to a poor outcome. Interestingly, this interpretation is
to a large extent compatible with a neurobiological model of cognitive
cruiability recently presented by Marchetti and colleagues (2012).
Based on a review of the literature on DMN alterations in depression,
they argued that an altered DMN can be seen as a neural mechanism
involved in the cognitive risk for the recurrence of depressive episodes
leading to increased rumination, cognitive reactivity and impaired at-
tentional control.

A drawback of our study might be seen in the fact that the fMRI
results were not corrected for multiple testing. However, as mentioned
before, this procedure has been discussed as an appropriate way to
control for type I errors but also avoiding an inflation of type II errors.
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